UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/814,996	03/31/2004	Robert Michael Neuman	1394	
Maria Neuman	7590 05/01/200	7	EXAMINER MISIASZEK, MICHAEL	
15556 Bronco	Drive			
Santa Clarita, C	A 91387		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3625	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/01/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<u> </u>	Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		996	NEUMAN ET AL				
		er	Art Unit				
	Michael	Misiaszek	3625				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period for Reply	D FOR REDIVIS SET	TO EVDIDE 2 MONTI	1(6) UD THIDTA (30) DVA				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIO WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM TH - Extensions of time may be available under the provice after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this control of the second of the seco	E MAILING DATE OF T sions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ecommunication. Im statutory period will apply and reply will, by statute, cause the apoths after the mailing date of this communication.	THIS COMMUNICATION EVENT, however, may a reply be will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from polication to become ABANDON	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s)) filed on <u>06 February 2</u>	<u>007</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL .	•						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the pr	actice under <i>Ex parte</i> C	luayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-17 is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) <u>18-25</u> is/are rejected.							
, ====	, ————————————————————————————————————						
8) Claim(s) are subject to re	striction and/or election	requirement.	·				
Application Papers							
9)⊠ The specification is objected to b	y the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 July 2004</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
11) The oath or declaration is objected	ed to by the Examiner. I	Note the attached Office	ce Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a cl		nder 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	-	•	ation No				
			ived in this National Stage				
application from the Interr			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
* See the attached detailed Office a			ived.				
	•						
Attachment(s)		•					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summa	ary (PTO-413)				
2) DNotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revi		Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa	I Date al Patent Application				
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	/U0)	6) Other:	internation				

Art Unit: 3625

DETAILED ACTION

An examination of this application reveals that applicant is unfamiliar with patent prosecution procedure. While an inventor may prosecute the application, lack of skill in this field usually acts as a liability in affording the maximum protection for the invention disclosed. Applicant is advised to secure the services of a registered patent attorney or agent to prosecute the application, since the value of a patent is largely dependent upon skilled preparation and prosecution. The Office cannot aid in selecting an attorney or agent.

A listing of registered patent attorneys and agents is available on the USPTO Internet web site http://www.uspto.gov in the Site Index under "Attorney and Agent Roster." Applicants may also obtain a list of registered patent attorneys and agents located in their area by writing to the Mail Stop OED, Director of the U. S. Patent and Trademark Office, PO Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Art Unit: 3625

Election/Restrictions

Claims 1-17 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 2/6/2007.

Applicant's election with traverse of claims 18-25 in the reply filed on 2/6/2007 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that prosecution of all pending claims would not place undue burden on the examiner. This is not found persuasive because although the groups of claims set forth in the Requirement for Restriction may be used in conjunction, the groups are still directed to separate, patentably distinct inventions, and thus would require separate fields of search.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Art Unit: 3625

Drawings

The drawings are objected to because two of the figures are not numbered. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Art Unit: 3625

Specification

The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) THE NAMES OF THE PARTIES TO A JOINT RESEARCH AGREEMENT.
- (e) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC.
- (f) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - (1) Field of the Invention.
 - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (g) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (h) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (i) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (i) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (I) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Art Unit: 3625

Applicant is reminded of the proper content of an abstract of the disclosure.

A patent abstract is a concise statement of the technical disclosure of the patent and should include that which is new in the art to which the invention pertains. If the patent is of a basic nature, the entire technical disclosure may be new in the art, and the abstract should be directed to the entire disclosure. If the patent is in the nature of an improvement in an old apparatus, process, product, or composition, the abstract should include the technical disclosure of the improvement. In certain patents, particularly those for compounds and compositions, wherein the process for making and/or the use thereof are not obvious, the abstract should set forth a process for making and/or use thereof. If the new technical disclosure involves modifications or alternatives, the abstract should mention by way of example the preferred modification or alternative.

The abstract should not refer to purported merits or speculative applications of the invention and should not compare the invention with the prior art.

Where applicable, the abstract should include the following:

- (1) if a machine or apparatus, its organization and operation;
- (2) if an article, its method of making;
- (3) if a chemical compound, its identity and use;
- (4) if a mixture, its ingredients;
- (5) if a process, the steps.

Extensive mechanical and design details of apparatus should not be given.

The abstract of the disclosure does not commence on a separate sheet in accordance with 37 CFR 1.52(b)(4). A new abstract of the disclosure is required and must be presented on a separate sheet, apart from any other text.

Art Unit: 3625

Claim Objections

Claims 18 and 22 are objected to because they are replete with informalities, such as the following:

The preamble of the claims should be changed to read: "A method for remotely ordering items comprising the steps of:"

The method steps currently labeled (a), (b), (c), (c), (d), (e), (f), and (g) should be re-labeled as: (a), (b), (c), (d), (e), (f), (g), and (h), respectively.

The phrase "(in our figures and examples, the software is called Easy Ordering System or EOS)" should be removed.

Appropriate correction of these informalities, along with any other found to be in the claims, is required.

Art Unit: 3625

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

1. Claims 18-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims, particularly independent claims 18 and 22 are replete with errors.

For example, each of claims 18 and 22 contain numerous instances of a lack of antecedent basis. Examples of terms that lack antecedent basis are as follows: "one's remote ordering device," in lines 2-3 of each claim; "the software program," in line 3 of each claim; "the memory," in line 7 of each claim; and "the ordering station," in line 8 of each claim.

Further, several phrases make the claim language indefinite. Examples are as follows: it is unclear what software program is indicated by "selecting the software program necessary"; "one may aim the device" is indefinite as to the whether the device is actually aimed or what steps follow if the device is not aimed; it is unclear what is encompassed by "correct change" in the "proceed to pick-up window" step; and it is unclear what is encompassed by "correct order" in the final method step.

Appropriate correction to the claims is required to more particularly point out the subject matter of the invention.

Page 9

Application/Control Number: 10/814,996

Art Unit: 3625

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

2. Claims 18-20, 22-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Cogen (US 2002/0138350 A1).

Regarding Claims 18, 22

Cogen discloses a method for remotely ordering items consisting of:

- driving a vehicle up to a restaurant or other retailer's drive-through or walking up
 to a point of sale and getting in the queue (at least paragraphs [0034] and [0077]:
 user gets into drive-trough lane or walk-up line at restaurant)
- turning on one's remote ordering device (at least paragraph [0035]: handheld device being used, therefore must be turned on)
- selecting the software program necessary (at least paragraph [0035]: menu
 application for at least one restaurant resident in device memory)
- choosing the restaurant or retailer of choice by selecting it on one's device (at least paragraph [0035]: selecting menu to order from)
- picking either a pre-saved file containing a favorite meal or choosing to compose
 a new order from a menu that has been previously downloaded into the memory

Art Unit: 3625

of said remote ordering device (at least paragraph [0035]: menu selections saved to device memory selected)

- upon reaching the ordering station at the drive-through, one may aim the device at the ordering station and beam or transmit the order (at least paragraph [0035]: order transmitted to order terminal)
- proceed to pick-up window with your correct change out, since the remote
 ordering device tells you how your order will cost (at least paragraph [0076] and
 [0077]: proceed to next window with payment)
- pay at the window and receive your correct order (at least paragraph [0076] and
 [0077]: pay for and receive order)

Regarding Claims 19-20, 23-24

- transmitting wireless payment, whether by credit card, debit card or other financial conveyance, from the remote ordering device to the data receiving station (at least paragraph [0074]: credit card payment)
- transmitting the credit card information received from the data receiving station over a communications link to a credit card authorization center for approval (at least paragraph [0074]: credit card payment inherently uses communication with authorization center)

Art Unit: 3625

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 21 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cogen in view of Pentel (US 2002/0190120 A1).

Cogen discloses the claimed invention except for:

· receiving customer identity information data from the portable computing device

Pentel teaches that it is known to include receiving customer identity information from the portable computing device (at least paragraph [0104]: personal identification) in a similar environment. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the method as taught by Cogen, wth the customer identification, as taught by Pentel, since such a modification would have provided additional security through use of biometric identification means (at least paragraphs [0104] and [0105] of Pentel).

Art Unit: 3625

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Misiaszek whose telephone number is (571) 272-6961. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 AM - 4:30 PM, Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey A. Smith can be reached on (571) 272-6763. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Michael A. Misiaszek Patent Examiner 4/26/2007 MATTHEW S. GART MATTHEW S. GART PRIMARY EXAMINER PRIMARY CENTER 3600 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600